

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6420 OF 2005

Rajkumar Babulal Jain

... Applicant.

Versus.

The State of Maharashtra.

... Respondent.

Shri R.V.More for the Applicant.

Shri K.V.Saste, A.P.P.for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 3rd October, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and
the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The learned A.P.P. for State on instructions of
the Investigating Officer stated that the investigation
will be completed within a period of 15 days and soon
thereafter charge sheet will be filed. After having
heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties, I
find that considering the gravity of allegations and
nature of material collected during investigation, this
is not a case wherein the Applicant can be enlarged on
bail before completion of investigation. Hence no case
is made out for grant of bail. The Application is
rejected. The statement made by the learned A.P.P. on
instructions of the Investigating officer is accepted.
Notwithstanding the rejection of this Application, it
will be open for the Applicant to file an application
before the Sessions Court immediately after filing of
the charge sheet. If such an application is filed, it
will be considered on its own merits.

Judge.